



BIRDVILLE INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT SPECIAL EDUCATION OPERATING PROCEDURES: INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY

Birdville ISD Board Policy along with these *Special Education Operating Procedures* constitute the Policies and Procedures of Birdville ISD, designed to be consistent with the State policies and procedures developed pursuant to the IDEA. Birdville ISD *Special Education Operating Procedures* are not to be for the purpose of creating a requirement that is not otherwise imposed by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (“IDEA”), together with its implementing federal regulations, state statutes and rules, as they shall from time to time be amended, and shall not be construed to create a higher standard than that established by IDEA. These *Special Education Operating Procedures* will be posted on Birdville ISD’s website. These *Special Education Operating Procedures* should be interpreted consistent with the IDEA. Birdville ISD’s *Special Education Operating Procedures* are reviewed and updated, as needed, on at least an annual basis. Birdville ISD will make timely changes to policies and procedures in response to IDEA amendments, regulatory or rule changes, changes to state policy, or new legal interpretation as are necessary to bring Birdville ISD into compliance with the requirements of IDEA. Birdville ISD maintains systems to ensure that all students with disabilities residing in the District, including students with disabilities attending non-public schools, regardless of the severity of their disabilities, and who are in need of special education and related services, are identified, located, and evaluated and provided a free appropriate public education. Birdville ISD maintains systems to ensure that students with disabilities and their parents are afforded the procedural safeguards required under the IDEA (and its implementing federal regulations, state statutes and rules) including with respect to the confidentiality of records and personally identifiable information.

INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY

A student with an intellectual disability displays *significantly* sub-average general intellectual functioning, coexisting with deficits in adaptive behavior, that adversely affects the student’s educational performance.¹ The student’s sub-average general intellectual functioning and coexistent deficits in adaptive behavior typically manifest during the student’s developmental period.

A student with an intellectual disability is one who:

- has been determined to have significantly sub-average intellectual functioning as measured by a standardized, individually administered test of cognitive ability in which the overall test score is at least two standard deviations below the mean, when taking into consideration the standard error of measurement of the test; and
- concurrently exhibits deficits in at least two of the following areas of adaptive behavior: communication, self-care, home living, social/interpersonal skills, use of community resources, self-direction, functional academic skills, work, leisure, health, or safety.²

¹ 34 C.F.R. § 300.8(c)(6)

² 19 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §89.1040(c)(5)

The group of qualified professionals conducting an evaluation of a student suspected of having an intellectual disability will conduct assessments and observations, and collect data, as necessary for the ARD Committee to make an eligibility determination.

It is permissible to have evaluation criteria that use intelligence tests to determine whether a child has a disability, provided that the intelligence test has been validated for the specific purpose for which it is being used, that neither the test itself nor its administration is racially or culturally discriminatory and does not constitute the sole criterion for determining an appropriate educational program for a child.³

For more information

In Texas, eligibility is determined by the student's Admission, Review and Dismissal (ARD) committee.⁴ The phrase *multidisciplinary team* refers to the group of District staff tasked with using a variety of assessment tools and strategies to gather relevant functional, academic and developmental information about the student, including information provided by the parent, as part of the special education evaluation process.⁵ For more information, please contact the Coordinator of Assessment and Evaluation.

Demonstrations of this procedure's implementation may include, but are not limited to, examples such as:

- Multi-Tiered Systems of Support or Intervention Data Reports
- Student specific data collection and monitoring
- Observation data
- Evaluation Reports
- Collection of information from parents and independent service providers
- ARD committee reports

³ *Letter to Warrington* (OSEP 9/2/1993)

⁴ 19 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 89.1040(b); 19 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 89.1050(a)(5)

⁵ 34 C.F.R. § 300.306